

Statement, I explain all history about the allegation of suspect plagiarism. 7 years ago Leopold Simar come to my school to teach a course in DEA by my invitation. He teach a theoretical course presenting his papers. In the course a model presented was the two stage model and a paper on it that he had published with the USA pall (Wilson) whom he used to publish. In first stage DEA-CCR and in second stage a regression with inferior and superior limit. There was none training in Simar course. I made that paper in DEAP software running CCR then I recover the scores in excel and run in Stata a restricted regression with bootstrap. I publish several papers with this model with success. Suddenly after publishing a paper in 2008 in Energy Economics this pall accused me of coping his paper that accompany the accusation. Energy Economics obliged me to make an apology (see Energy Economics). The paper was with Peypoch. If you read the apology I declare that (i) some parts of their methodology sections exact description of the method written by the author's paper. As I didn't know of the existence of their paper. **How can I copy something I ignore?** However these parts are the same. I presume my co-author made it as a specialist in DEA. Personally I am an applied economist more oriented for econometrics and doing simple DEA models when the data is short for econometrics. Therefore this copy is true but I maintain that I don't know the paper and so may co-authors have adopted it. (ii) I declare that I uses a program he made (which is not true because I never meet him and didn't know his paper before the accusation, never had I heard about a program and the model was easily made in simple and introductory software's. Leopold Simar didn't teach any application in his course. Anyway I was obliged by Elseviere lawyers to make that declaration. Why didn't I reject to declare

false answers? Because they told me that they will bring me to a court in London. I do not have enough wealth to allow it, so I sign it. Last year I have two papers accepted in Energy Economics signifying that I have recovered from the incident. During this period I have revised many papers for Energy Economics.

After this recover I receive this email from EconPapers in January 2014 for old dead papers that were in web but done in the same period. It is true that I replicate papers and these were replications of the same period. Although I didn't not copy I was using as a copy issue. **Therefore my fault.**

However, these papers are from 2008 and they were rejected in journals, so they were dead papers. Based in the requirement, I will take it from the web. Therefore, I hope the problem will be settled and closed. I know accusatory was Phd student of Leopold Simar, but I never saw any program that he obliged me to declare in EE apology as the apology is public in EE and about the same issue I suggest that taking the papers from web the problem be solved. Therefore these two accusations (Energy economics and Econ Papers) are about the same type of papers. I am finding this persecution on suspect plagiarism too much and unfair and I feel to be subject to **scientific bullying** by a person I don't know personally. So, I am not using the model since the EE apology and expect to close this bullying forever. Finally I promise to behave as I have, fair and with justice, never adopting plagiarism.